PENELOPE SIMULATIONS OF PHOTON CALIBRATION FIELDS AT THE LMIV-IPEN

Adélia Ap. Yuka Kakoi¹, Eduardo Heredia¹, Orlando Rodrigues Júnior¹, Lucas R. dos Santos¹, Marcos Xavier¹ and Joaquim C. S. Cardoso¹

¹ Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares (IPEN / CNEN - SP) Av. Professor Lineu Prestes 2242 05508-000 São Paulo, SP <u>adelia@usp.br</u>

ABSTRACT

In this article, the photon spectra of Eu-152 reference photon field of the detection system at LMIV-IPEN is presented, which was calculated by means of Monte Carlo simulations by using the computer transport code Penelope. The contributions from scattered photons to the spectra of the fields have been determined with regard to the quantities photon fluence. The mean photon energies calculated with respect to the mentioned quantity are listed. Differences in the design of the sources and their influence on the spectra are discussed. The dependence of the scattered photon component from the energy was examined by feeding the Penelope code with monoenergetic photons of different energies.

1. INTRODUCTION

Thallium-doped Sodium Iodide (NaI(Tl)) detectors are capable of measuring the energy spectra of gamma particles (photons) emitted by radioactive nuclei with an energy resolution of a few percent. As the gamma particles emitted during the decay of specific radioactive elements have very well-defined energies, the measurement of specific energy gammas indicates what radioactive nuclei are decaying. The experimental set-up, however, requires a software calibration that converts the measured spectra versus "bin number" into the spectra versus the energy in MeV.[1]

Information about scattered photons is only accessible through an investigation of spectra by performing Monte Carlos simulations; the photon spectra at the reference point of the calibration facility will be calculated using the Monte Carlo transport code Penelope.

On PENELOPE a code system for Monte Carlo simulation, the geometry package called PENGEOM permits the generation of random electron-photon showers in material systems consisting of homogeneous bodies limited by quadric surfaces, i.e., planes, spheres, cylinders, etc.[2]

The count rates or areas of individual peaks in the spectrum of measurement are related to the amount of radioactive material deposited in the body by a factor of efficiency. This efficiency depends on several factors including the intrinsic efficiency of the detector, the geometry of the measurement (solid angle, position, shape of the individual to be measured and the radionuclide distribution in the body) and the properties of the nuclide.

At the In Vivo Monitoring Laboratory (LMIV) of the Energy and Nuclear Research Institute (IPEN/CNEN-SP) whole-body measurements are routinely carried out in workers of the IPEN, visitors, trainees and contract workers. The frequency of measurements is established

by the Radioprotection Service (SRP) and the Dose Calculation Group of IPEN. The direct measurement of body or organ activity is commonly used for radionuclides that emit radiation with energies greater than 100 keV.

We will use the Eu-152, which emits multiple gamma rays that range in energy from about 120 keV to about 1460 keV.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The detection system of the LMIV consists of one NaI (Tl) detector (8 x 4 in) for whole-body measurements, connected to a Ortec 556 high-voltage supply, a Canberra 2022 amplifier and an Ortec 920 Ethernim 16-imput multichannel buffer. The walls of the shielded room consist of 130 mm-thick steel sheet lined with 5 mm of lead and 5 mm of copper. The internal dimensions are 2.6 m x 1.7 m x 1.85 m, with air filtration and maintained at a temperature of 25° C.

To perform the simulation, we need the geometry file, the library containing tables of cross section with information such as chemical composition, density of mass and mean excitation of materials that will be used, the configuration file where we set parameters as the source spectrum, simulation time, number particle simulation, the output data and an executable file. The absolute efficiency (ϵ_{ABS}) was determined by the ratio of particle counts that arrived and interacted in the detector sensitive volume and the particles emitted by the source and the intrinsic efficiency (ϵ_{INT}) by the ratio between the number of interacting particles and the

number of incident particles in the detector.[3] The average of the energy deposited in the detector is the sum of contributions of energy ei from each particle N generated,

$$\overline{E_{dep}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i \qquad (1)$$

And the statistical uncertainty of the average energy already being in the results is given by, [3]

$$\sigma^{2}\left(\overline{E}\right) = \frac{\sigma^{2}(E)}{N} \approx \frac{1}{N} \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_{i}^{2} - \overline{E}^{2}\right] \qquad (2)$$

2.1. Geometry and Materials

It was constructed the detector of LMIV which has a cylindrical shape with a radius equal to 10.16 cm and 9.45 cm in height and the cylindrical wrapping of aluminum with a radius equal to 10.56 cm and 11.61 cm in height. The simulation time was two hours and the distance between the point source and the detector was 76 cm.



Figure 1. Detector structures built in PENELOPE



Figure 2. Geometry in 3D of the detector used: the orange region represents the aluminum hood and the purple region represents the sodium iodide

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The simulation results were obtained using the existing code PENELOPE. Were simulated nearly 10^8 particles and the values of efficiency were $\varepsilon_{INT} = 5.814.10^{-06}$ and $\varepsilon_{ABS} = 0.092$ for an average uncertainty of the calculation performed by the subprogram penEasy of PENELOPE was 1.538%.



Figure 3. Simulated spectra of energy deposited in the NaI(Tl) detector.



Figure 4. Simulated efficiency as a function of photon middle energy.



Figure 5. Experimental efficiency as a function of photon energy.

The simulated spectra of Eu-152 are shown in Fig. 3 that represents the deposited energy distribution. Analyzing the efficiency graphs in Fig.4 and Fig. 5, it can be noted that the simulated efficiency is greater than the experimental efficiency due in the simulation is not considered losses caused by electronic detector, that is, the detection efficiency of NaI (Tl) was not considered in the calculations. In the graphs of efficiency versus energy, the points are the experimentally data and the simulation data obtained and the curves represent a fit by ordinary least squares.

To correct the simulated curve is necessary to multiply it by a factor that takes into account the losses don't simulated which is related to the detection efficiency of the detector.

REFERENCES

- 1. W. J. Llope, "Calibration of the NaI(Tl) detector set-up used to study natural stone countertops", Rice University, 2008.
- 2. F. Salvat, J. M. Fernández-Varea, J. Sempau, "PENELOPE, a code system for Monte Carlo simulation of electron and photon transport", Universitat de Barcelona, Facultat de Física (ECM), Barcelona, Spain, 2008.
- 3. M. M. dos Santos, "Estudo de uma câmara de ionização do tipo poço através da simulação Monte Carlo", Universidade de São Paulo, Faculdade de Filosofia Ciências e Letras de Ribeirão Preto, Departamento de Física e Matemática, Dissertação de Mestrado, 2009.
- 4. E. Suckling, "Introduction to Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy Using a Thallium Activated Sodium Iodide Detector", University of Surrey, Guildford, UK, 2005.