FLUKA SIMULATIONS OF ²²⁵Ac PRODUCTION USING ELECTRON ACCELERATORS: VALIDATION THROUGH COMPARISON WITH PUBLISHED EXPERIMENTS

T. V. Szabo, F. A. B. Neto, I. C. Moraes, CNPEM, Campinas, BrazilH. B. de Oliveira, IPEN, São Paulo, BrazilP. V. Guillaumon, USP/LAL, Sao Paulo, Brazil

Abstract

Targeted Alpha Therapy (TAT) is an active area of study worldwide. This technique has shown a potential in nuclear medicine to treat metastatic disease by alpha particles that deposit energy in small regions nearby cancer cells. ²²⁵Ac is an important alpha-emitting that can be used for cancer TAT. This radioisotope shows good potential for medical applications, therefore it is important to study ways of increase its production and availability. One possible path for the 225 Ac production is to irradiate a radium target (226 Ra) on a linear electron accelerator (LINAC). Isotope production studies could be implemented using computational tools. In this work, Monte Carlo simulations with FLUKA code were performed and compared to experimental results. We studied ²²⁵Ac production by photonuclear reactions using a 24 MeV electron beam LINAC hitting a tungsten electronphoton converter. Different energies and geometries were also simulated to obtain optimal production conditions. The specific activity values obtained with simulations had a good agreement with published experimental results.

INTRODUCTION

²²⁵Ac Production

The use of new radioactive isotopes, in particular actinium-225, for the diagnosis and therapy of various diseases is one of the major fronts of nuclear medicine. The 225 Ac is a parental radioisotope for the preparation of 213 Bi, an isotope also used in nuclear medicine. The 225 Ac has a half-life of approximately 10 days, and the daughter isotopes are short-lived emitters (see Fig. 1).

The nuclear properties of ²²⁵Ac allow its application for the same purposes, specifically for targeted alpha therapy (TAT). This technique has been developed in several countries, highlighting the recent realization by the Brazilian Nuclear and Energy Research Institute IPEN/CNEN of the first labeling of the glycoprotein PSMA with actinium-225, to use in the treatment of metastatic prostate cancer.



Figure 1: Decay scheme of Actinium 225.

To produce ²²⁵Ac, it is common to use an electron accelerator, which may be a cyclotron, betatron, or a linear accelerator (LINAC). We irradiate electrons with energies of a few dozen MeV in a converter, which has the function of converting the electron beam into photons by interaction of them with matter. The converter is a simple layer of material, usually a few millimeters of tungsten, where electrons interact, generating bremsstrahlung radiation (see Fig. 2). These photons then hit a target containing a specific material, generating a parent isotope of the one we want to produce.



Figure 2: Representation of an electron beam hitting a converter and generating bremsstrahlung photons into a target.

When radiating ²²⁶Ra, it is produced ²²⁵Ra by (γ , n) reaction, which needs some time after the irradiation to decay to ²²⁵Ac until it reaches its maximum activity, called cooling time. For the ²²⁵Ra producing ²²⁵Ac, it happens in approximately 17.5 days (see Fig. 3).



Figure 3: Relative activity of ²²⁵Ac and ²²⁵Ra in function of cooling time in days.

MOPAB409

12th Int. Particle Acc. Conf. ISBN: 978-3-95450-214-1

δ and

2 ion

intain a

ıst

Any distribution of thi

2021).

3.0

ΒY

the

terms of

under

be used

his work may

Monte Carlo Code Fluka

The Monte Carlo Method (MCM) is based on repeated random sampling to obtain numerical results. With the use of randomness to solve problems that might be deterministic, in principle, is possible to approach non-stochastic results based on probability distribution that describes the problems.

Since the MCM implementation in 1946 by the mathematicians Stanislaw Ulam and John von Neumann, many algorithms was developed, including the Monte Carlo code FLUKA [1], as well as the graphic interface FLAIR [2], created by CERN and INFN for simulating the transport of particles and its interaction with matter.

With FLUKA is possible to simulate an experiment setting a geometry, materials, particle's properties, irradiation and detector's parameters, resulting in values of exposure, spectra, as well as production and activity of radioisotopes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Comparison of Experimental Measurements and FLUKA Results

At first, it was necessary to evaluate the effectiveness of the Monte Carlo Code FLUKA for production of radioisotopes, specifically for the reaction 226 Ra (γ , n) and the 225 Ra decay. For this, a geometry was implemented in computational simulations based on experiments developed by Maslov, Sabel'nikov and Dmitriev [3,4].

That consists of an electron beam with energy of 24 MeV and diameter of 5 mm reaching a 2 mm thick tungsten converter.

A sample of ²²⁶Ra was placed in the bottom of a cylindrical cavity of an aluminum support with a 8 mm diameter and 5 mm depth, hermetically sealed with a 0.1 mm thick aluminum, directly in front of the converter (see Fig. 4). Then, the converter was irradiated for 20 hours with an electron current of 15 µA and underwent a cooling time of 18 days for the activity of ²²⁵Ac to reach its maximum.



Figure 4: Geometry for simulating irradiation of ²²⁶Ra [4] in (A) sagittal cut and (B) a 3D projection.

Since it is a nuclear reaction and the Maslov's results are publisher, given as specific activity, that means it's normalized by the mass of ²²⁶Ra, as well by the beam current and hours of irradiation. To rise the probability of photon interaction with the target, it was considered a higher amount of mass author(s), title of the work, of pure ²²⁶Ra, for equivalent but more accurate results in a shorter period of time.

Improvement in the Production of ²²⁵Ac

Confirming the correct response of FLUKA code for the reactions of interest, it was developed tests with the parameters of the experiment, in order to improve the ²²⁵Ac production within the experimental reality that we have. This includes taking into account the amount of material used, tangible beam energies and the dose absorbed by the components.

Parameters, as the energy of the primary beam and the geometry is easily manipulated in the FLUKA code settings. Then, to improve the ²²⁵Ac production, we simulate different scenarios and compare the results.

In this scenario, greater energies for the primary beam should lead to greater specific activities. Once that to happen the 226 Ra(γ , n) 225 Ra reaction, the minimum energy of the photon need to be 6.4 MeV [5], and greater primary electron beam's energies lead to a greater fluence of more energetic photons. But this increase in energy lead to a rise in the absorbed dose by the components. Then, there is a compromise between the production of ²²⁵Ac and the heat increase in components.

FLUKA Code Parameters

In order to carry out the simulations proposed in this study. since FLUKA transport particles in a very broad range of energy, its import to set the correct parameters to enable the interactions of interest.

Using the MATERIAL card, the material of ²²⁶Ra with a density of 5.5 g/cm^3 was set. To enable interaction with its neutrons and their transport, the cards LOW-MAT and LOW-NEUT were used.

The irradiation time was configured with the IRRPROFI card, configuring an irradiation with 20 hours and 15 µA.

An activity detector was used, defined by the RESNU-CLEi card together with an auxiliary DCYSCORE card. he The decay times were configured with the DCYTIMES card, these being just after irradiation to 25 days after.

In addition, dose detectors were used in the converter, in the ²²⁶Ra target and in the aluminum support with the USRBIN card. These used to evaluate the dose that each component is subject to.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Comparison of Experimental Measurements and FLUKA Results

The activities of all radionuclides produced was evaluated. A large part of these radionuclides have a relatively short

MC8: Applications of Accelerators, Technology Transfer, Industrial Relations and Outreach **U01 Medical Applications**

12th Int. Particle Acc. Conf. ISBN: 978-3-95450-214-1

half-life, making ²²⁵ Ac and its daughters radionuclides the majority after 18 days of decay (see Table 1).

Table 1: Main Radionuclides Generated, in Relation to the Activity, 18 days After Irradiation of ²²⁶Ra Target

Radionuclide produced	Activity (Bq/µA/h/mg ²²⁶ Ra)	
²²⁵ Ac	507.6	
²²⁵ Ra	448.6	
²²¹ Fr	507.6	
²¹⁷ At	507.1	
²¹³ Po	497.2	
²¹³ Bi	507.2	
²⁰⁹ Pb	508.5	

The activity of 225 Ac reached after 18 days was 507(20) Bq/ μ A/h/mg, which agrees with the Maslov's experimental results of 550 Bq/ μ A/h/mg, differing only by 7.8%.

Improvement in the Production of ²²⁵Ac

To optimize the 225 Ac production, the beam energy was varied between 24 MeV to 90 MeV (see Fig. 5).



Figure 5: ²²⁵Ac activity in function of electron energy beam.

The activity has a plateau close to 80 MeV. Therefore, rise the energy up this value doesn't affect significantly the activity of 225 Ac produced.

Comparing the dose absorbed by the components with different electron beam energies, it's possible to shape the experiment for scenarios that we can deal with, in terms of the increase in heat by the components due to irradiation.

It was established that in the scenario proposed by Maslov the temperature increase in the components was not higher than 100 °C [4]. Assuming that value as the maximum heat for the beam energy used in Maslov's experiments for all components and the linearity between the absorbed dose in component obtained with FLUKA and the heat increase in it, the maximum heat for tungsten converter, aluminium support and radium target was calculated (see Table 2).

The heat increase in the aluminium support and the tungsten converter didn't have a significant variation with the beam energy. On the other hand, the 226 Ra target had a linear absorbed dose with the 225 Ac activity produced.

Table 2: Maximum Heat Increase in Each Component of the Experiment

Energy (MeV)	Converter (°C)	Support (°C)	Target (°C)	Activity (Bq/µA/h/mg)
24	100.00	100.00	100.00	507.6
35	92.07	107.88	139.12	726.3
50	88.33	108.12	180.02	832.2
60	87.62	108.39	198.02	1005.6
70	87.43	109.20	211.38	1061.5
75	87.46	109.68	216.84	1136.0
80	87.49	110.26	221.58	1222.8
90	87.67	111.43	229.71	1154.6

CONCLUSION

In this study, previously published experimental setup adopted by Maslov, Sabel'nikov and Dmitriev [3,4] for ²²⁵Ac production was simulated in Monte Carlo code FLUKA and the results differ only by 7.8%, showing a good agreement between both methodologies.

Beyond this, it was analysed the variation in activity of 225 Ac changing the energy of electron beam, which it was noticed that there is a plateau of the activity produced in around 80 MeV. The increase in energy impact on the heat in components, an important parameter for experiment definitions. The heat in the 226 Ra target is the most important, it increases linearity with the 225 Ac production and it should be limited by the efficiency of the cooling system. This demonstrates a compromise between the activity produced by the isotope of interest and the heat delivered in the components.

Therefore, FLUKA demonstrated to be a powerful tool to study different setups for the irradiation parameters, being fundamental to predict and optimize the production of ²²⁵Ac and many others radioisotopes of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Böhlen, F. Cerutti, M. Chin, A. Fassò, A. Ferrari, P. G. Ortega *et al.*, "The FLUKA code: developments and challenges for high energy and medical applications", *Nucl. Data Sheets*, vol. 120, pp. 211–214, 2014. doi:10.1016/j.nds. 2014.07.049
- [2] V. Vlachoudis et al., FLAIR: a powerful but user friendly graphical interface for FLUKA, Saratoga Springs, New York, USA: American Nuclear Society - ANS, 2009.
- [3] O. Maslov, A. Sabel'nikov, and S. Dimitriev, "Preparation of 225 Ac by 226 Ra (γ, n) photonuclear reaction on an electron accelerator, MT-25 microtron", *Radiochemistry*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 195–197, 2006.
- [4] S. Dimitriev, O. Maslov, A. Sabel'nikov, A. Belov, "Production of 236 Pu in the 237 Np (γ, n) reaction", Flerov Laboratory of Nuclear Reactions, Dubna, Russia, Rep. JINR-R-12-97-359, 1997.
- [5] Centre for Photonuclear Experiments Data (CDFE), 2005, http://cdfe.sinp.msu.ru/index.en.html