# DETERMINATION OF THE CRYSTAL ALIGNMENT OF Pr-Fe-B MAGNETS USING THE (105) X-RAY POLE FIGURE

Castro, A.R.M<sup>1,a</sup>, Takiishi, H<sup>1,b</sup>, Faria, R.N<sup>1,c</sup>, Lima, N.B<sup>1,d</sup> <sup>1</sup>Energy and Nuclear Research Institute, Av. Lineu Prestes 2242 - CEP: 05508-000 São Paulo - Brazil <sup>a</sup>arcastro@ipen.br, <sup>b</sup>takiishi@ipen.br, <sup>c</sup>rfaria@ipen.br, <sup>d</sup>nblima@ipen.br

#### Keywords: Magnets; Texture; PrFeB-alloys

**Abstract.** The crystallographic alignment of various permanent magnets has been investigated by X-ray pole figure analysis. Attempts have been made to measure the degree of alignment of these sintered magnets using the (105) reflection. It has been shown that the (105) pole figure can be used only to verify small differences in texture in magnets high degree of crystallographic alignment. A comparison between the measured and the calculated  $L_{105}$  index showed good agreement.

#### Introduction

It is well known that there is a direct correlation between remanence and energy product with texture or the crystal alignment of permanent magnets. Therefore, several studies to evaluate the degree of crystal alignment of magnets, using X-ray diffraction, have been reported [1-9]. Recently, a systematic study of magnetic alignment in Pr-Fe-B sintered magnets using the (004) reflection have been reported [10]. These Pr-based magnets were produced using the hydrogen decrepitation process [11]. It has also been reported that the (105) reflection is not appropriated to sintered magnets with low degree of crystallographic alignment [10]. In this study, the crystal alignment of Pr<sub>16</sub>Fe<sub>76</sub>B<sub>8</sub> permanent magnets has been further investigated using the (105) X-ray pole figure analysis. The degree of alignment in sintered magnets was carried out by changing the milling time and the intensity of the orientation field [12, 13]. Hydrogen desorption from the powder prior to the milling step was also employed to vary the degree of alignment in the magnets [14].

### Experimental

A commercial  $Pr_{16}Fe_{76}B_8$  alloy in the as-cast state was used in this study. To produce the sintered Pr-based magnets using the hydrogen decrepitation (HD) process [11], 35 g of the bulk ingot were placed in a stainless steel hydrogenation vessel, which was then evacuated to backing-pump pressure. Hydrogen was introduced to a pressure of 1 bar, which resulted in decrepitation of the bulk material. The standard decrepitated hydride material was then transferred to a "roller" ball-mill under a protective atmosphere and milled for several hours (18, 27, 36 and 45 hours) using cyclohexane as the milling medium. The resultant fine powder was then dried for 1 h and transferred to a small cylindrical rubber tube under a nitrogen atmosphere. The fine powder was aligned by pulsing three times to a 6 T magnetic field, pressed isostatically at 1000 kg cm<sup>-2</sup> and then vacuum sintered for 1 h at 1060°C, followed by cooling on the furnace (~3.5°C min<sup>-1</sup>). Annealing was carried out under vacuum at 1000°C for 24 hours. Magnetic measurements of the HD sintered magnets were performed in a permeameter after saturation in a pulsed field of 6 T.

Partially desorbed (PD) powder was prepared from the decrepitated hydride by a heat treatment in vacuum at 300°C for 5 hours. Total desorption (TD) of the powder was also carried out in vacuum but at 600°C for 5 hours. The partially and totally desorbed material was then processed in the same manner as the standard decrepitated hydride (HD) material. The samples, as-sintered and annealed (A), were examined by X-ray diffraction techniques.

Measurements of (105) and (004) pole figures were carried out by the Schulz's reflection method with a diffractometer, using the CrK $\alpha$  radiation [1]. The tilt angle ( $\alpha$ ) was varied from 0° to 75° in steps of 5°. The angle of rotation, azimuth angle ( $\beta$ ), was varied from 0° to 360° in steps of 5°. The intensities of the pole figure data were normalized by:

$$I(\alpha_{j}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{12} f(\alpha_{j}, \beta_{i})}{72.I(\alpha_{1})} \qquad j = 1,16 \qquad (1)$$

The (105) normalized intensity data was fitted for two Lorentz functions centered at  $0^{\circ}$  and  $15^{\circ}$  from magnet alignment direction and the ratio  $L_{105}$  was calculated by:

$$L_{105} = \frac{L(\Theta_{c} = 15^{\circ})}{L(\Theta_{c} = 0^{\circ})}$$
(2)

 $L(\Theta_c = 15^\circ)$  and  $L(\Theta_c = 0^\circ)$  are the maximum intensity values of the Lorentz functions.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Normalized intensities for the reflections (105), obtained using equation (1) and as a function of  $\alpha$  angle for three permanent magnets processed using different milling times, in the assintered, are shown in Figure 1. Sintered Pr<sub>16</sub>Fe<sub>76</sub>B<sub>8</sub> magnets prepared using longer milling time (samples HD 27 and 36) showed better a sharper curve pattern whereas the magnet produced using shorter milling time (HD18) exhibited a broader pattern and no index L<sub>105</sub> could be determined in this case.



Fig. 1 - Normalized intensities as a function of angle  $\alpha$  for various magnets in the as-sintered state, for the reflections (105).

Normalized intensities for the reflections (105) the previous permanent magnets in the annealed state, are shown in Figure 2. Again, sintered  $Pr_{16}Fe_{76}B_8$  magnets prepared using longer milling time (samples HD 27A and 36A) showed better a sharper curve pattern than that of the magnet produced using shorter milling time (HD18A). Once again, the index  $L_{105}$  could not be determined for this case. Some improvement has been obtained with annealing at high temperature.







Fig. 2 - Normalized intensities as a function of angle  $\alpha$  for various magnets in the annealed state, for the reflections (105).

Curves for normalized intensities (105) plotted as a function of  $\alpha$  angle for  $Pr_{16}Fe_{76}B_8$  magnets with high degree of alignment are shown in Figure 3. These three as-sintered magnets showed almost identical curves with sharp pattern peculiar to samples with high degree of alignment. Normalized intensities for these three magnets in the annealed state are shown in Figure 4. In this case, however, they exhibited a maximum at approximately 15° for two magnets (HD45A and TD18A), due to the influence of the [001] magnetic alignment direction. This shows that these two magnets are slightly better aligned than that which did not show a maximum at low angles. Annealing also improved further the degree of crystallographic alignment of the as-sintered  $Pr_{16}Fe_{76}B_8$  magnets that already had a high degree of alignment.

Figure 5 shows a correlation of remanence and the index  $L_{105}$ , data taken from Ref. [10] for the  $\langle \cos \Theta \rangle$ . The flat line or saturation for the  $\langle \cos \Theta \rangle$  above 0.96 shows a low sensitivity in this region. Although the  $L_{105}$  index is very sensitive in the case of magnets with a high degree of alignment, it showed a wide scatter and no meaningful conclusion could be drawn when considering  $Pr_{16}Fe_{76}B_8$  magnets in the as-sintered and annealed conditions. Thus, the index  $L_{105}$ proved not to be suitable to represent permanent magnets with low and medium degree of crystallographic alignment.

As reported previously [10], the index  $\langle \cos \Theta \rangle$  determined by the (004) pole figure analysis is a good index to represent the degree of alignment of sintered Pr<sub>16</sub>Fe<sub>76</sub>B<sub>8</sub> magnets. However, the  $\langle \cos \Theta \rangle$  obtained by pole figure analysis was found to be less sensitive at high degrees of alignment because of the I( $\alpha$ ) broadening [10]. Thus, in sintered Pr<sub>16</sub>Fe<sub>76</sub>B<sub>8</sub> magnets with high degree of crystallographic alignment the index L<sub>105</sub> can detect small differences in texture which is not possible with the index  $\langle \cos \Theta \rangle$ . This can be the case in a magnet industrial production line where all magnets are fabricated with a high degree of crystal alignment and a more accurate measurement is necessary. Calculated L<sub>105</sub> index of crystallographic alignment for the various permanent Pr<sub>16</sub>Fe<sub>76</sub>B<sub>8</sub> magnets was also included for a comparison, as shown in Figure 6. Some disparity is only found for low values of L<sub>105</sub> but, in general, good agreement was observed for the other samples. <sup>•</sup> click for feedback





Fig. 3 - Normalized intensities as a function of angle  $\alpha$  for three magnets in the as-sintered condition and with high degrees of alignment, for the reflections (105).



Fig. 4 - Normalized intensities as a function of angle  $\alpha$  for three annealed magnets with high degrees of alignment, for the reflections (105).





Fig. 5 Correlation of  $B_r$  with  $L_{105}$  (\*) and  $\langle \cos \Theta \rangle$  data (•) from Ref. [10].



Fig. 6 Correlation of  $L_{105}$  observed with  $L_{105}$  calculated.

Magnetic domains of  $Pr_{16}Fe_{76}B_8$  sintered magnets have been observed by Kerr effect and histogram of the angular distribution of domain alignment has been used to determine the magnetic texture [15]. It has been shown that the Kerr technique, although time-consuming, can provide a reasonable estimate for magnets with low and medium degrees of crystal alignment. Thus, this technique is inappropriate for magnets with high degree of crystallographic alignment. This behavior can be easily understood by realizing that it becomes very difficult to determine the crystallographic alignment using the Kerr technique in sintered permanent magnets with very fine grain sizes. The origin of (105) reflection of X-ray diffraction and quantitative evaluation of the alignment degree for sintered Nd-Fe-B magnets have also been reported previously [16]. G click for feedback

## Conclusions

In  $Pr_{16}Fe_{76}B_8$  magnets with a high degree of crystallographic alignment, the index  $L_{105}$  could be employed to verify small differences in texture. A comparison of the index  $L_{105}$  with the index  $<\cos\Theta>$ , also determined by the (004) pole figure analysis; showed that, in general, the latter is a good index to represent the degree of alignment of  $Pr_{16}Fe_{76}B_8$  sintered magnets but with low sensitivity for highly aligned samples. If industrial magnets with high degree of alignment are to be tested the  $L_{105}$  should be used instead of the index  $<\cos\Theta>$ . Kerr effect can be used for magnets with low degree of crystal alignment. Good agreement has been achieved between the observed and the calculated  $L_{105}$  index.

## Acknowledgements

Many thanks are due The State of São Paulo Research Foundation and Energy and Nuclear Research Institute for supporting this investigation. Thanks are also due to M. M. Serna and E. Galego for their help during the course of this work.

## References

[1] L. G. Schulz: J. Appl. Phys. Vol. 20 (1949), p. 1030.

[2] J. F. Herbst and J. C. Tracy: J. Appl. Phys. Vol. 50 (6) (1979), p. 4283.

[3] K. D. Durst and H. Kronmüller: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. Vol. 59 (1986), p. 86.

[4] W. C. Chang, T. B. Wu and K. S. Liu: J. Appl. Phys. Vol. 63 (8) (1988), p. 3531.

[5] S. Z. Zhou, Y. X. Zhou and C. D. Graham Jr.: J. Appl. Phys. Vol. 63 (8) (1988), p. 3534.

[6] A. S. Kim, F. E. Camp and H. H. Stadelmaier: J. Appl. Phys., Vol. 76 (10) (1994), p. 6265.

[7] Y. Kaneko and N. Ishigaki: J. Mat. Eng. and Performance Vol. 3 (2) (1994), p. 228.

[8] D. Hinz, S. Wirth, D. Eckert, K. Helming and K. H. Muller: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. Vol. 157 (1996), p. 43.

[9] Y. R. Wang, S. Guruswamy and V. Panchanathan: J. Appl. Phys. Vol. 81 (8) (1997), p. 4450.

[10] A. R. M. Castro, E. Galego, N. B. Lima and R. N. Faria: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 278 (2004), p. 39.

[11] R. N. Faria, A. J. Williams, J. S. Abell and I. R. Harris: 14th Int. Workshop on RE Magnets & Applic., São Paulo (1996), p. 570.

[12] T. Kawai, B. M. Ma, S. G. Sankar and W. E. Wallace: J. Appl. Phys. Vol. 67 (9) (1990), p. 4610.

[13] P. J. McGuiness, I. R. Harris, E. Rozendaal, J. Ormerod and M. Ward: J. Mater. Sci. Vol. 21 (1986), p. 21.

[14] R. N. Faria, A. R. M. Castro and N. B. Lima: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. Vol. 238 (2002), p. 38.

[15] A. R. M. Castro, M. M. Serna, R. N. Faria and N. B. Lima: J. Magn. Magn. Mater. Vol. 238 (2002), p. 38.

[16] H. Ye-Qing, Z. Shou-Zeng, Z. Zheng-Rong and H. Bao-Shan: Chin. Phys. Lett. Vol. 18 (10) (2001), p. 1408.



## Advanced Powder Technology VII

doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.660-661

# Determination of the Crystal Alignment of Pr-Fe-B Magnets Using the (105) X-Ray Pole Figure

doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.660-661.139

